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#### Abstract

Each complete quadrilateral has three Miquel-Steiner points. Any triangle together with an arbitrarily chosen point not on a triangle side also defines a complete quadrilateral, and thus, this pivot point defines three Miquel-Steiner points. These three Miquel points form a triangle which is perspective with the base triangle. The mapping that assigns to the pivot point the uniquely defined perspector is a quadratic and not involutive Cremona transformation and shall be called Miquel-Steiner transformation. We shall study the action of the Miquel-Steiner transformation and its inverse.
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## Sačetak

Svaki potpuni četverokut ima tri MiquelSteinerove točke. Bilo koji trokut zajedno s proizvoljno odabranom točkom koja nije na strani trokuta također definira potpuni četverokut, pa stoga ova točka zakretanja definira tri Miquel-Steinerove točke. Ove tri Miquelove točke tvore trokut koji je perspektivan s osnovnim trokutom. Preslikavanje koje točki stožera dodjeljuje jedinstveno definirani perspektivor je kvadratna, a ne involutivna Cremona transformacija i zvat će se Miquel-Steinerova transformacija. Proučavat ćemo djelovanje MiquelSteinerove transformacije i njen inverz.

Klučne riječi: Miquelove točke, četverokut, trokut, kvadratna Cremona transformacija

## 1 Introduction

There are several theorems in geometry that are ascribed to the French geometer Auguste Miquel (1816-1851). The most common of his results (originally published in [9]) may be the following (see Figure 1):


Figure 1: Miquel's theorem as a theorem in triangle geometry.

Let $A, B, C$ be the vertices of a triangle and let $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ be arbitrary points (different from $A, B, C$ and not collinear) on the sides lines $[B, C],[C, A],[A, B]$. Then, the three circles $k_{A B^{\prime} C^{\prime}}, k_{A^{\prime} B C^{\prime}}, k_{A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C}$ have a common point $M$, the Miquel point. Here and in the following, $k_{X Y Z}$ denotes the circle on the (non-collinear) points $X, Y$, and $Z$. Sometimes, this theorem is also called the Pivot Theorem (see [4]).
There are other results on geometric configurations ascribed to Miquel:
(i) Miquel's Five Circles Theorem (cf. Figure 2, top) states that consecutive circumcircles of the spikes of a pentagonal star intersect in five concyclic points (see [2, pp. 151-152]).
(ii) Miquel's Six Circles Theorem (cf. Figure 2 , bottom) states that if five circles meet four times in three points, then the remaining four common points are concyclic. This circle configuration can be viewed as an image of the stereographic projection of all circumcircles of the faces of a cube under a Möbius transformation. (cf. [1, 10]).


Figure 2: Top: Miquel's Five Circles Theorem. Bottom: Miquel's Six Circles Theorem.

In this article, we make use of the MiquelSteiner Quadrilateral Theorem: We assume that $\mathcal{Q}=A B C D$ is a quadrilateral, i.e., no three of these points are collinear. The to-
tality of the six lines $[A, B], \ldots,[C, D]$ joining these points forms a complete quadrilateral. The points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}:=[A, B] \cap[C, D], \\
& D_{2}:=[B, C] \cap[D, A], \\
& D_{3}:=[C, A] \cap[B, D],
\end{aligned}
$$

are usually referred to as the diagonal points of $\mathcal{Q}$. In the complete quadrilateral built on $\mathcal{Q}$, we can find the following three quadruples of subtriangles

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
A B D_{3}, & C D D_{3}, & A C D_{1}, & B D D_{1} ; \\
A D D_{1}, & B C D_{1}, & A B D_{2}, & C D D_{2} ; \\
A C D_{2}, & B D D_{2}, & A D D_{3}, & B C D_{3} ;
\end{array}
$$

each of which defining its own circumcircle. Then, the Miquel-Steiner Theorem reads:

Theorem 1.1. The following quadruples of circumcircles of subtriangles in a complete quadrilateral share a single point:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{A B D_{3}} \cap k_{C D D_{3}} \cap k_{A C D_{1}} \cap k_{B D D_{1}}=: M_{1}, \\
& k_{A D D_{1}} \cap k_{B C D_{1}} \cap k_{A B D_{2}} \cap k_{C D D_{2}}=: M_{2},  \tag{1}\\
& k_{A C D_{2}} \cap k_{B D D_{2}} \cap k_{A D D_{3}} \cap k_{B C D_{3}}=: M_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

The quadruple points

$$
M_{1}, \quad M_{2}, \quad M_{3}
$$

are called the Miquel-Steiner points of $\mathcal{Q}$, see [11, 12]. Figure 3 illustrates the contents of Thm. 1.1. (It is well-known, but nonetheless surprising that the four centers of the circles defining a Miquel point are concyclic, cf. [12].)

As outlined in [11], the triangle $\Delta_{M}=$ $M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}$ of Miquel points is perspective to the triangle $\Delta_{D}=D_{1} D_{2} D_{3}$ of diagonal points. Further, $\Delta_{M}$ is also perspective to $\Delta=A B C$ (see Figure 4). The perspector $P$
of the triangles $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{M}$ shall be called Miquel perspector. Later, we shall replace the point $D$ by an arbitrarily chosen point $Z$ and consider the mapping $\mu: Z \mapsto P$ which shall be called the Miquel-Steiner transformation.


Figure 3: The triple of Miquel points of a quadrilateral.


Figure 4: Miquel points of a point $Z$ with respect to a triangle $\Delta=A B C$ and the Miquel perspector $P$.

In the following, we derive an analytical description of the Miquel-Steiner transfor-
mation $\mu$. This will allow us to study its properties (cf. Section 2). Further, we derive the inverse which turns out to be different from the initial mapping. The MiquelSteiner transformation is one of the rare examples of quadratic Cremona transformation that is not involutive as we shall see in Section 3. This is a good reason to have a closer look onto its properties and its action on objects which are occurring frequently in triangle geometry. In Section 3.3, we shall also investigate the six-parameter manifold of triangle cubics attached to the base triangle $\Delta$ which is left fixed as a whole under the Miquel-Steiner transformation. Besides that, we want to give a geometric meaning to at least some known triangle centers that show up in the inflationarily increasing Encyclopedia of Triangle Centers (cf. [7]).

## 2 A quadratic MiquelSteiner transformation

Let us now assume that we are given a triangle $\Delta=A B C$ in the Euclidean plane. Any point $Z$ that does not lie on a side line of $\Delta$ gives rise to a quadrilateral $\mathcal{Q}=A B C Z$, i.e., in comparison to Sec. 1, we have replaced $D$ by $Z$, and the diagonal points are as defined above. Hence, the Miquel points are the quadruple points given in (1). Provided that $Z$ is a triangle center (in the sense of $[7,8]$ ), the Miquel perspector $P$ is also a triangle center.

In order to study the mapping $\mu: Z \rightarrow$ $P$, we shall derive an analytic description. For that purpose, we use homogeneous trilinear coordinates in the plane of $\Delta$. The
side lengths of $\Delta$ are

$$
c:=\overline{A B}, \quad a:=\overline{B C}, \quad b:=\overline{C A} .
$$

We use the vertices of the triangle $\Delta=$ $A B C$ as the base points of the projective frame and the incenter $X_{1}$ as the unit point. (Here and in the following, we use C. KimBERLING's notation for triangle centers, cf. [7, 8]). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A=1: 0: 0, & B=0: 1: 0, \\
C=0: 0: 1, & X_{1}=1: 1: 1 .
\end{array}
$$

With this coordinatization, the line at infinity (ideal line) $\omega$ is given by the homogeneous equation $a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta=0$, or in terms of homogeneous trilinear line coordinates, as $a: b: c$.

We may assume that the fourth point $Z$ has the homogeneous trilinear coordinates

$$
\xi: \eta: \zeta \neq 0: 0: 0
$$

It is rather elementary to compute the three Miquel points $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ as the intersections of the circumcircles mentioned in (1) and we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}:= a\left(-a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \xi^{2}-b\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) \xi \eta \\
&-a b c \eta \zeta+c\left(c^{2}-a^{2}\right) \zeta \xi: \\
&: b(a \xi+b \eta)(a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta): \\
&: c(c \zeta+a \xi)(a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta), \\
& M_{2}:=a(a \xi+b \eta)(a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta): \\
&: a\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) \xi \eta+b\left(a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \eta^{2} \\
&-a b c \zeta \xi+c\left(c^{2}-b^{2}\right) \eta \zeta: \\
&: c(b \eta+c \zeta)(a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta), \\
& M_{3}:=a(c \zeta+a \xi)(a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta): \\
&: b(b \eta+c \zeta)(a \xi+b \eta+c \zeta): \\
&: c\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \zeta^{2}+a\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right) \zeta \xi \\
&+b\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \eta \zeta-a b c \xi \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

With this it is easily verified that the triangles $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{M}=M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}$ are perspective. The Miquel perspector can be given in terms of trilinear coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=a(a \xi+b \eta)(a \xi+c \zeta)::=\frac{1}{b c(b \eta+c \zeta)}::, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the :: indicates that the subsequent coordinate functions are obtained by cyclically replacing all variables, i.e., $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow$ $c \rightarrow a$ and $\xi \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow \zeta \rightarrow \xi$.
The cyclic symmetry of the coordinate functions of the Miquel perspector indicates that the Miquel perspector assigned to a triangle center is also a triangle center (in the sense of C. Kimberling, see [7, 8]).

We can state:
Theorem 2.1. The mapping $\mu: Z \mapsto P \notin$ $\Delta_{a}$ that assigns to each point $Z=\xi: \eta$ : $\zeta$ which does not lie on a side line of $\Delta$ 's anticomplementary triangle $\Delta_{a}$ the Miquel perspector $P$ as given in (2) is a quadratic Cremona transformation. The orthocenter $X_{4}$ of $\Delta$ is fixed under $\mu$.

Proof. The fact that $\mu$ from (2) is quadratic is obvious. We have to show that this mapping meets the requirements of a quadratic mapping to be invertible, i.e., the (not necessarily regular) base conics defined by the three (homogeneous) quadratic coordinate functions (set equal to zero) share three points (cf. [3, 13]).
For that end, we look at the polynomial representation of $\mu$ given in (2) (in the middle). The two linear factors set equal to zero yield the equations of two straight lines: $a \xi+b \eta=0$ is parallel to $[A, B]$ and passes through $C$, while $a \xi+c \zeta=0$ is parallel to $[C, A]$ and passes through $B$. The latter lines meet in $A_{a}=-b c: c a: a b$. By
virtue of the cyclic symmetry of $\mu$ 's coordinate functions, we see that the exceptional set of $\mu$ consists of the lines

$$
a \xi+b \eta=0, \quad b \eta+c \zeta=0, \quad c \zeta+a \xi=0
$$

which meet in the points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{a}=-b c: \quad c a: a b, \\
& B_{a}=b c:-c a: a b, \\
& C_{a}=b c: c a:-a b .
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter lines and points are the side lines and vertices of the anticomplementary triangle $\Delta_{a}$ of $\Delta$. (Sometimes, $\Delta_{a}$ is called the antimedial triangle, see, e.g., [6]).
The fact that $\mu\left(X_{4}\right)=X_{4}$ can easily be shown by inserting the orthocenters trilinear representation into (2).

It is clear that no further point (different from $X_{4}$ ) can be fixed under $\mu$. The Miquel-Steiner image of a point $X$ can be found as the isogonal conjugate (with respect to $\Delta$ ) of a collinear image of $X$ (see Thm. 2.2). Under the isogonal conjugation $\iota, \Delta$ 's incenter $X_{1}$ is the only fixed point.
The base conics of the quadratic mapping $\mu$ are singular as is the case with the base conics in the isogonal and isotomic conjugation (cf. $[8,13]$ ), and in the case of any inversion in a conic (see [13]).

According to Thm. 2.1, $\mu$ is a quadratic Cremona transformation, and as such, it is invertible. However, $\mu$ differs from the wellknown quadratic Cremona transformations that occur frequently in triangle geometry. So, we state and prove:

Theorem 2.2. The Miquel-Steiner transformation $\mu$ is not involutive. Its inverse is not defined on the side lines of $\Delta$. The

Miquel-Steiner transformation is the composition of the isogonal conjugation $\iota$ with respect to $\Delta$ and the central similarity $\alpha$ with $\Delta$ 's centroid $X_{2}$ as the center and the scaling factor 2, i.e., $\mu=\iota \circ \alpha$. $\Delta$ 's orthocenter is also fixed under $\mu^{-1}$.

Proof. The mapping $\mu$ is not involutive, since $\mu^{2} \neq \mathrm{id}$ as can easily be verified.

By virtue of the right-hand side of (2), we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho x & =\frac{1}{b c(b \eta+c \zeta)}, \\
\rho y & =\frac{1}{c a(c \zeta+a \xi)}, \\
\rho z & =\frac{1}{a b(a \xi+b \eta)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho \neq 0$ (is the complex but constant homogenizing factor). By applying the isogonal conjugation $\iota$, we can rewrite the latter equations in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{-1} x^{-1}=b c(b \eta+c \zeta), \\
& \rho^{-1} y^{-1}=c a(c \zeta+a \xi), \\
& \rho^{-1} z^{-1}=a b(a \xi+b \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have to solve this system of three linear equations in the three unknowns $\xi, \eta$, $\zeta$. By replacing $x, y, z$ with $\xi, \eta, \zeta$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{-1}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=b c(-a \eta \zeta+b \zeta \xi+c \xi \eta):: . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse of $\mu$ is not defined on the side lines of the base triangle. The coordinate functions of $\mu^{-1}$ describe three independent regular conics in the plane of $\Delta$ which share $\Delta$ 's vertices.

The coordinate representation (2) of $\mu$ shows that $\mu$ can be considered as the composition of the isogonal transformation $\iota:(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \mapsto\left(\xi^{-1}, \eta^{-1}, \zeta^{-1}\right)$ with respect


Figure 5: The centers $H_{i}$ of the three base conics $b_{i}$ of $\mu^{-1}$ form a triangle perspective with $\Delta . X_{25}$ serves as the perspector, $\mathcal{L}_{66}$ is the perspectrix.
to the base triangle $\Delta$ and a collineation $\alpha$ with the transformation matrix

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & b^{2} c & b c^{2} \\
a^{2} c & 0 & a c^{2} \\
a^{2} b & a b^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The collineation $\alpha$ has $\Delta$ 's centroid $X_{2}=$ $b c::$ as fixed point and the ideal line $\omega=a::$ of the projectively closed Euclidean plane of the initial triangle $\Delta$ is an axis of $\alpha$. In order to show that $\alpha$ is a central similarity with the scaling factor -2 , we compute the characteristic crossratio. For that end, we impose a projective frame on a fixed line (different from the axis, passing through the center $X_{2}$ ) and assign the coordinates 1 : 0 to the center $X_{2}$ and $0: 1$ to a generic point $Q \neq X_{2}$ and $Q \notin \omega$. We assume that the generic point $Q$ has the homogeneous trilinear coordinates

$$
m: n: o \neq 0: 0: 0
$$

with respect to $\Delta$. Then, the homogeneous coordinates of $\alpha(Q)$ and $U=[Q, \alpha(Q)] \cap$ $\omega$ with respect to the frame on $\left[X_{2}, Q\right]$ are
equal to
$a m+b n+c o:-a b c$ and $a m+b n+c o:-3 a b c$.
Hence, we have

$$
\operatorname{cr}\left(X_{2}, U, Q, \alpha(Q)\right)=-2
$$

The orthocenter of $\Delta$ is fixed under $\mu^{-1}$.
Further, we can show what is illustrated in Figure 5:

Theorem 2.3. The triangle of the centers of the three base conics of $\mu^{-1}$ is perspective with $\Delta$. The perspector is the center triangle center $X_{25}($ of $\Delta)$.

Proof. The centers $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ of the conics given in (3) are found by multiplying the inverses of their coefficient matrices with a coordinate vector of the ideal line, i.e., for example with ( $a, b, c$ ). This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1} & =\sigma: 2 b^{2} \cos C: 2 c^{2} \cos B, \\
H_{2} & =2 a^{2} \cos C: \sigma: 2 c^{2} \cos A, \\
H_{3} & =2 a^{2} \cos B: 2 b^{2} \cos A: \sigma,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\sigma:=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}
$$

and

$$
\cos A=\frac{b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2}}{2 b c} \quad(\text { cyclic })
$$

is the cosine of $\Delta$ 's interior angle at $A$ (cyclic). The perspector between $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{H}=H_{1} H_{2} H_{3}$ has the trilinear center function

$$
a\left(-a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

which belongs to the triangle center $X_{25}$ in Kimberling's list (cf. [7, 8]). It is the homothetic center of the orthic triangle and the tangential triangle of $\Delta$.

The perspectrix $p$ of $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{H}$ is the line carrying the triangle centers $X_{8673} \in \omega$ as well as the proper centers $X_{2485}, X_{14396}$, $X_{52950}$, i.e., $p=\left[X_{2485}, X_{8673}\right]=\mathcal{L}_{66}$ (after canonical identification of line coordinates with point coordinates).

### 2.1 The square of $\mu$

Since $\mu$ is not involutive, the square of the Miquel-Steiner transformation is a nontrivial and quartic Cremona transformation. It is obvious that $\mu^{2}$ is a Cremona transformation, i.e., it is invertible, since $\left(\mu^{-1}\right)^{2} \circ \mu^{2}=$ id. In terms of trilinear coordinates the square of $\mu$ reads

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{2}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)= \\
=\left(b c(b \eta+c \zeta)\left(a\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \xi+b^{3} \eta+c^{3} \zeta\right)\right)^{-1}::
\end{gathered}
$$

The mapping $\mu^{2}$ is not defined on the sides of the excentral triangle $\Delta_{a}$ of $\Delta$ and on the sides of further triangle $\Delta_{f}$ which is perspective with $\Delta$. Here, $X_{4}$ (of $\Delta$ ) serves as the perspector, while the perspectrix between $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{f}$ is the line with homogeneous coordinates $a^{3}: b^{3}: c^{3}$. The canonical identification of point and line coordinates assigns the perspectrix to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ power point $X_{32}$ (cf. [7, 8]).

## 3 Action of $\mu$ and $\mu^{-1}$

Since $\mu$ is a quadratic mapping, it sends algebraic curves $c$ of degree $n$ to algebraic curves of degree $2 n$. Degree reductions occur if $c$ passes through a base point of the transformation. The same holds true for its inverse. In what follows, we shall have a look at the $\mu$-images and $\mu^{-1}$-images of
some geometric objects related to the base triangle.

In order to increase the readability of equations, we shall write the coordinates $\xi$, $\eta, \zeta$ with bold characters.

### 3.1 Images of straight lines

We restrict ourselves to the $\mu$-images and $\mu^{-1}$-images of some very special lines related to a triangle. It is clear that images and pre-images of straight lines under the Miquel-Steiner transformations are conics in general, and straight lines only if the lines under consideration pass through at most one base point of the transformation.


Figure 6: Image and pre-image of the antiorthic axis $\mathcal{L}_{1}$.

### 3.1.1 Antiorthic axis

The antiorthic axis $\mathcal{L}_{1}=1: 1: 1$ is the harmonic conjugate of $X_{1}$ with respect to the base triangle $\Delta$. Its $\mu$-image is the central conic

$$
\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} c(b c+c a-a b) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}=0
$$



Figure 7: The Euler line and its $\mu$-image and $\mu^{-1}$-image.
passing through the triangle centers $X_{i}$ with

$$
i \in\{100,34071,52923\} .
$$

The center of the conic $\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ is the yet unnamed, and thus, unlabelled triangle center defined by the homogeneous trilinear center function

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(a b+a c-b c) . \\
\cdot\left(a^{3}(b+c)-a^{2} b c-a(b+c)(b-c)^{2}\right. \\
\left.-b c\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The $\mu$-pre-image of the antiorthic axis is again a conic and has the trilinear equation

$$
\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{3} \xi^{2}+a b(a+b+c) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}=0 .
$$

It is centered at the Gergonne point $X_{7}$ and houses the centers

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{149,4440,20355,20533,21220 \\
21221,30578,37781\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 6 shows a triangle with its antiorthic axis $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ the conics $\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ and $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$.

### 3.1.2 Euler line

The $\mu$-pre-image of the Euler line (see Figure 7 )

$$
\mathcal{L}_{647}=\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]=a\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right)::
$$

is the central conic with the trilinear equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{647}\right): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{4}\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}= \\
=2 \prod_{\text {cyclic }}^{\text {ch }}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a b \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}
\end{gathered}
$$

centered at $X_{110}$, the focus of the Kiepert parabola.

The conic $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{647}\right)$ passes through the proper triangle centers $X_{i}$ with the Kimberling indices

$$
\begin{array}{r}
i \in\{4,20,69,146,193,2888,2889,2892, \\
3868,3869,5596,6193,6225,10340, \\
11061,11271,11469,12383,17220, \\
18387,22647,32354,37889,39355\}
\end{array}
$$

and carries also the centers $X_{2574}$ and $X_{2575}$ located on the line at infinity.

The $\mu$-image of the Euler line is the conic

$$
\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{647}\right): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} c\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}=0
$$

centered at $X_{125}$ which is the center of the Jeřabek hyperbola. The latter conic carries 272 known triangle center of which $X_{2574}$ and $X_{2575}$ are points at infinity while the
proper points have the Kimberling indices

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{3,4,6,54,64-74,248,265,290,695, \\
879,895,1173,1175-1177,1242-1246, \\
1439,1798,1903,1942,1987,2213,2435, \\
3426,3431,3519,3521,3527,3531,3532, \\
3657,4846,5486,5504,5505,5900,6145, \\
6391,8044,8612,8795,8811,8814,9399 \\
9513,10097,10099,10100,10262,10293, \\
10378,10693,11270,11559,11564,11738, \\
11744,12023,13418,13452,13472,13603, \\
13622,13623,14220,14374,14375,14380, \\
14457,14483,14487,14490,14491,14498, \\
14528,14542,14841,14843,14861,15002, \\
15077,15232,15316,15317,15320,15321, \\
15328,15453,15460,15461,15740,15749, \\
16000,16540,16620,16623,16665,16774, \\
16835,16867,17040,17505,17711,18123, \\
18124,18125,18296,18363,18368,18434, \\
18532,18550,19151,19222,20029,20421, \\
21400,22334,22336,22466,26861, \\
28786-2878,30496,31366,31371,32533, \\
33565,34207,34221,34222,34259, \\
34435-34440,34483,34567,34800-34802, \\
34817,35364,35373,35512,35909,36214, \\
37142,38005,38006,38257,38260,38263, \\
38264,38433,38436,38439,38442,38443, \\
38445,38447,38449,38534,38535,38955, \\
39372,39379,39665,39666,40048,40441, \\
41433,41435,41518,41519,42016,42021, \\
42059,42299,43689-43727,43834,43891, \\
43892,43908,43918,43949,44207,44718, \\
44750,44835,44836,45011,45088,45302, \\
45733,45736,45788,45835,45972,46765, \\
46848,46851,47060,48362,51223,51480, \\
52222,52390,52391,52518,52559,52560, \\
52561,54124,54125\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The two conics $\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{647}\right)$ and $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{647}\right)$ are both passing through the circumcenter $X_{3}$ and the orthocenter $X_{4}$. Further, $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{647}\right)$ is a circumconic of $\Delta_{a}$ and contains the de Longchamp point $X_{20}$ of $\Delta$. Since $X_{20}$ is at the same time the orthocenter of $\Delta_{a}$, we can summarize and state:

Theorem 3.1. The $\mu$-image and the $\mu$ -
pre-image of the Euler line are equilateral hyperbolae with the same ideal points (and hence, parallel asymptotes). The first is centered at $X_{125}$, the second is centered at $X_{110}$.

### 3.1.3 Brocard axis

The Brocard axis $\mathcal{L}_{523}=\left[X_{3}, X_{6}\right]$ with trilinear coordinates $b c\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)::$ is sent to the conic with the equation

$$
\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{523}\right): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{2}\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}=0
$$

via the inverse of the Steiner-Miquel transformation. This conic is centered at $X_{99}$ (Steiner point) and contains the triangle centers $X_{i}$ with Kimberling indices

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{1,2,20,63,147,194,487,488,616, \\
617,627,628,1670,1671,1764,2128, \\
2582,2583,2896,3413,3414,6194 \\
6462,6463,7616,8591,8782,9742, \\
10336,11148,13174,13678,13798 \\
16552,16563,17147,18301,18596 \\
20371,21378,30562,30564,30579 \\
33404,33405,33608,33609,33610 \\
33611,33612,33613,36857,41914, \\
41923,41930,44010,45029,46625, \\
46717,46944,51860,51952,51953, \\
52025,52676,53856\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X_{3413}$ and $X_{3414}$ are real points on the line at infinity. Hence, $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{523}\right)$ is a hyperbola.

On the other hand, $\mu$ sends the Brocard axis to the central conic

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{523}\right): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} c\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) . \\
\cdot\left(a^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+c^{2}\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

centered at the yet unnamed triangle center with the trilinear center function

$$
\begin{gathered}
a\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2}\left(a^{4}-a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2} c^{2}-b^{2} c^{2}\right) \\
\cdot\left(a^{6}-2 a^{4}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+a^{2}\left(b^{4}-b^{2} c^{2}+c^{4}\right)\right. \\
\left.-b^{2} c^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, we shall note that the triangle centers $X_{i}$ with Kimberling numbers

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{54,98,251,1078,1179,1342,1343, \\
1629,3453,5012,5481,10312,11816, \\
38826,39396,42346\}
\end{gathered}
$$

are located on the conic $\mu\left(\mathcal{L}_{523}\right)$.

### 3.2 Images of conics

Again, the huge variety of conics makes it necessary to pick out some special representatives. It is clear that conics only map to conics if they are circumscribed to the triangle of base points, i.e., the anticomplementary triangle $\Delta_{a}$. The Miquel-Steiner transforms of circumconics of the initial triangle $\Delta$ are quartic curves in general.

### 3.2.1 Steiner circumellipse

Degeneracies of the image curves can only be expected if the circumconics of $\Delta$ touch the anticomplementary triangle. This happens escpecially in the following case:

Theorem 3.2. The Miquel-Steiner preimage of the Steiner circumellipse is the central line $\mathcal{L}_{3051}=\left[X_{316}, X_{512}\right]$.

Proof. We insert (2) into the equation

$$
s: b c \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}+c a \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\xi}+a b \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}=0
$$

of the Steiner ellipse and find

$$
\mu^{-1}(s): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{3}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}=0 .
$$

Now, it is an elementary task to verify that $\mu^{-1}(s)$ is spanned by $X_{316}$ (Droussent pivot) and $X_{512} \in \omega$. The canonical identification of the trilinear coordinates of $\mu^{-1}(s)$ with the coordinates results in a center with the trilinear center function $a^{3}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)$ which is that of $X_{3051}$ (cf. [7, 8]).

Furthermore, the following triangle centers $X_{i}$ with Kimberling indices

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{316,850,3766,3978,11450, \\
14957,14962,17995,20022,20295, \\
20352,20556,21282,21301,21302, \\
21303,33873,44445,47128,52618, \\
53331,53365,54263\}
\end{gathered}
$$

are located on $\mu^{-1}(s)$.


Figure 8: Steiner-Miquel image and preimage of the Steiner circumellipse.

The $\mu$-image of $s$ is a quartic with three cusps at the vertices of $\Delta$ passing through the centers $X_{i}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{249,1016,1252,1262,2226,6185, \\
10630,23586,23592,23964,23984, \\
34536,34537,34538,34539,40384\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The tangents at the cusps concur in the Symmedian point $X_{6}=a: b: c$. Figure 8 shows the Steiner-Miquel image and preimage of the Steiner circumellipse $s$ of $\Delta$.

### 3.2.2 Circumcircle

The $\mu$-pre-image of the circumcircle

$$
u: a \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}+b \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\xi}+c \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}=0
$$

is the ideal line

$$
\omega: a \boldsymbol{\xi}+b \boldsymbol{\eta}+c \boldsymbol{\zeta}=0 .
$$

The circumcircle is mapped under the Miquel-Steiner transformation to the quartic curve

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu(u) & : \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{2}\left(-a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2} \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{2}=  \tag{4}\\
& =2 a b c \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}(a \boldsymbol{\xi}+b \boldsymbol{\eta}+c \boldsymbol{\zeta})
\end{align*}
$$

housing the triangle centers $X_{i}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{59,249,250,2065,10419, \\
15378-15388,15395-15397, \\
15401-15407,15460,15461, \\
41511,44174\}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 9: The Miquel-Steiner transform of the circumcircle $u$ is a quartic with three ordinary double points at the vertices of $\Delta$. The tangents at the double points are the joins with the circumcenter $X_{3}$ and the Symmedian point $X_{6}$.

The vertices of $\Delta$ are ordinary double points of $\mu(u)$. The tangents at the double
points are the Cevians through the circumcenter $X_{3}$ and the Symmedian point $X_{6}$, cf. Figure 9. This can easily be verified by extracting the coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$, and $\zeta^{2}$ from (4) and showing that the resulting quadratic forms factor and split into two linear factors which (if set equal to zero) yield the equations of the tangents at the double points. For example, the coefficient of $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}$ equals
$(b \boldsymbol{\zeta}-c \boldsymbol{\eta})\left(b\left(a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\zeta}-c\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\eta}\right)$.
The first factor describes the Cevian through $X_{6}$, the second that through $X_{3}$.

By virtue of (4), it is clear that $\mu(u)$ degenerates if $\Delta$ is a right triangle. Let (for example) the right angle be at $C$. Then, $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$, the term $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$ vanishes, and the right-hand side becomes

$$
2 a^{2} b^{2} \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right) .
$$

Thus, the side $[A, B]$ (opposite to the vertex $C)$ splits off from $\mu(u)$.


Figure 10: A sequence of right triangles with ratios of cathetus's lengths $1: 1,20: 21$, 3:4, 5:12, 9:40, 19:180, 41:840 and the corresponding cubic curves as $\mu$-images of the circumcircle $u$.

For an equilateral triangle $\Delta$, i.e., $a=$ $b=c \neq 0$, the curve $\mu(u)$ becomes a Steiner hypocycloid.

### 3.2.3 Incircle

The $\mu$-pre-image of the incircle

$$
\begin{gathered}
i: \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{2}(a-b-c)^{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}= \\
=\sum_{\text {cyclic }} 2 a b(a-b+c)(-a+b+c) \boldsymbol{\xi} \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

is the quartic curve

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{-1}(i): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{9} b c(a+b+c)(a-b-c)^{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{4} \\
-2 a^{5}\left(b(b-c) a^{6}-\left(b^{3}-2 b^{2} c-b c^{2}+c^{3}\right) a^{5}\right. \\
-\left(2 b^{4}-b^{3} c-2 b c^{3}-c^{4}\right) a^{4} \\
+\left(2 b^{5}-3 b^{4} c-b^{3} c^{2}-7 b^{2} c^{3}-b c^{4}+2 c^{5}\right) a^{3} \\
+\left(b^{6}+2 b^{4} c^{2}+2 b^{3} c^{3}+3 b^{2} c^{4}-2 b c^{5}-2 c^{6}\right) a^{2} \\
-(b-c)\left(b^{6}+2 b^{4} c^{2}+b^{3} c^{3}+2 b^{2} c^{4}-b c^{5}-c^{6}\right) a \\
\left.c^{3}(b-c)^{2}(b+c)^{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{3}(b \boldsymbol{\eta}+c \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \\
-a^{2} b^{2}\left(b(4 b-c) a^{8}-(b-c)\left(4 b^{2}-b c-2 c^{2}\right) a^{7}\right. \\
-\left(8 b^{4}-b^{3} c+6 b^{2} c^{2}-3 b c^{3}-2 c^{4}\right) a^{6} \\
+\left(8 b^{5}-9 b^{4} c+7 b^{3} c^{2}-1-1 b^{2} c^{3}-b c^{4}+4 c^{5}\right) a^{5} \\
+\left(4 b^{6}-b^{5} c+10 b^{4} c^{2}+2 b^{3} c^{3}+8 b^{2} c^{4}-5 b c^{5}-4 c^{6}\right) a^{4} \\
-\left(4 b^{7}-5 b^{6} c+15 b^{5} c^{2}-6 b^{3} c^{3}-5 b^{2} c^{5}-b c^{6}+2 c^{7}\right) a^{3} \\
c\left(b^{5}+2 b^{3} c^{2}+10 b^{2} c^{3}+7 b c^{4}+2 c^{5}\right)(b-c)^{2} a^{2} \\
-b c(b-c)^{3}(b+c)\left(b^{4}-2 b^{3} c-2 b^{2} c^{2}+c^{4}\right) a \\
\left.+2 b^{3} c^{2}(b+c)^{2}(b-c)^{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2} \\
-2 a^{2} b c\left(b(3 b-2 c) a^{8}-\left(3 b^{3}-5 b^{2} c-2 b c^{2}+3 c^{3}\right) a^{7}\right. \\
-\left(6 b^{4}-2 b^{3} c+2 b^{2} c^{2}-5 b c^{3}-3 c^{4}\right) a^{6} \\
+\left(6 b^{5}-8 b^{4} c+2 b^{3} c^{2}-18 b^{2} c^{3}-2 b c^{4}+6 c^{5}\right) a^{5} \\
+\left(3 b^{6}-b^{5} c+8 b^{4} c^{2}+4 b^{3} c^{3}+9 b^{2} c^{4}-7 b c^{5}-6 c^{6}\right) a^{4} \\
-\left(3 b^{7}-4 b^{6} c+13 b^{5} c^{2}-3 b^{4} c^{3}-b^{3} c^{4}-6 b^{2} c^{5}-b c^{6}+3 c^{7}\right) a^{3} \\
c(b-c)^{2}\left(b^{5}+3 b^{3} c^{2}+13 b^{2} c^{3}+10 b c^{4}+3 c^{5}\right) a^{2} \\
-b c(b+c)(b-c)^{2}\left(b^{4}-2 b^{3} c-2 b^{2} c^{2}+c^{4}\right) a \\
\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This quartic curve has three cusps at the vertices of the anticomplementary triangle $\Delta_{a}$ of $\Delta$. Therefore, they map to a conic that touches the three sides lines of the exceptional triangle of the mapping $\mu^{-1}$ (which is $\Delta$ ). In the case of an equilateral triangle $\Delta$ (and thus also $\Delta_{a}$ ), the curve $\mu^{-1}(i)$ is a Steiner hypocycloid (cf. Figure 11).


Figure 11: Miquel-Steiner transforms and the (cusped) inverses of the incircle for an equilateral, an acute, a right, and an obtuse triangle.

The $\mu$-image of the incircle is the quartic

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu(i): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} c^{2}\left(\left(2 a^{6}-4 a^{5}(b-c)-3 a^{4}\left(2 b^{2}+b c-2 c^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
+a^{3}\left(8 b^{3}-b^{2} c-b c^{2}-8 c^{3}\right) \\
+a^{2}\left(6 b^{4}-9 b^{3} c+2 b^{2} c^{2}+7 b c^{3}+6 c^{4}\right) \\
-a\left(4 b^{5}-b^{4} c+b^{3} c^{2}+b^{2} c^{3}+7 b c^{4}-4 c^{5}\right) \\
\left.\quad-2\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2} \\
+2 b^{2} c\left(2 a^{5}+a^{4}(2 b-c)-a^{3}(b+c)(4 b-7 c)\right. \\
\quad-a^{2}\left(4 b^{3}-7 b^{2} c-2 b c^{2}+c^{3}\right) \\
+a(b+c)\left(2 b^{3}-b^{2} c-8 b c^{2}+3 c^{3}\right) \\
\left.+2(b+c)^{2}(b-c)^{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The vertices of $\Delta$ are isolated double points on the $\mu$-images of $i$ since the incircle of $\Delta$ always lies completely in the interior of the anticomplementary triangle $\Delta_{a}$. Fig-
ure 11 shows the Miquel-Steiner image and pre-image of the incircle for four triangles (obtuse, right, acute, equilateral).

### 3.2.4 Nine-Point Circle



Figure 12: Images and pre-images of the nine-point circle of an acute, an obtuse, a right, and an equilateral triangle.

The nine-point circle $n$ can be described by the homogeneous trilinear equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & : \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{2}\left(-a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}= \\
= & 2 a b c(a \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}+b \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\xi}+c \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The nine-point-circle is mapped under $\mu^{-1}$ to the quartic curve

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{-1}(n): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a^{8}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{4}+ \\
2 a^{5} b\left(a^{4}-a^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+2 b^{2} c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{3} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\
-2 a b^{5}\left(a^{2}\left(b^{2}-2 c^{2}\right)-b^{2}\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{3} \\
+a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{6}-a^{4}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)-a^{2}\left(b^{4}-8 b^{2} c^{2}+c^{4}\right)\right. \\
\quad+\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{=} \\
=-2 a b c \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \sum_{\text {cyclic }} a\left(2 a^{6}-2 a^{4}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad-a^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} .
\end{gathered}
$$

On it we can find the centers $X_{35258}, X_{47785}$, and $X_{54280}$.

The $\mu$-image of $n$ is also a quartic curve with the trilinear equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(n): \sum_{\text {cyclic }} c^{2}\left(c^{2}-3 a^{2}-3 b^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}= \\
& =2 \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \sum_{\text {cyclic }} b c\left(-5 a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Surprisingly, there are only two labelled triangle centers on $\mu(n): X_{18771}$ (the MiquelSteiner image of the Feuerbach point $X_{11}$ ) and $X_{46426}$. Depending on the shape of the triangle $\Delta$, the curve $\mu(n)$ may have three cusps (equilateral triangle) or two double points and one cusp (isosceles triangle). For a right triangle $\Delta, \mu(n)$ splits into a cubic and a straight line. If the right angle is at the vertex $C$, the linear component is given by $a \xi+b \eta=0$ and the cusps lies in the vertex $C_{a}$ of the anticomplementary triangle $\Delta_{a}$, i.e., $\Delta_{a}$ 's vertex opposite to $C$.


Figure 13: The cubic $\mathcal{K}_{254}$, its $\mu$-image $\mathcal{K}_{379}$, and its $\mu^{-1}$ image together with the centers on it.

### 3.3 Triangle cubics

It is clear that the 6 -parameter family of triangle cubics

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C}^{6}: \sum_{\text {cyclic }} \frac{a^{2}}{c^{2}} q_{102} \xi^{3}-\sum_{\text {cyclic }} q_{210} \xi^{2} \eta-q_{111} \sum_{\text {cyclic }} \frac{a^{2}}{b c} \xi^{3} \\
& +\frac{c^{2} b^{2} c^{2}}{a^{2} c^{2}}\left(a^{4} c^{2} q_{120} \xi^{3}+b^{4} a^{2} q_{012} \eta^{3}+c^{4} b^{2} q_{201} \zeta^{3}\right) \\
& -\left(q_{120} \xi \eta^{2}+q_{012} \eta \zeta^{2}+q_{201} \zeta \xi^{2}\right)+q_{111} \xi \eta \zeta=0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

that pass through the vertices of $\Delta_{a}$ are mapped to cubics under $\mu$ (since the side lines of $\Delta_{a}$ split off from the image curve). According to Thms. 2.1 and 2.2, the orthocenter $X_{4}$ of $\Delta$ is fixed under $\mu$ and $\mu^{-1}$. If a triangle cubic $\mathcal{C}$ contains $X_{4}$, then $X_{4} \in \mu(\mathcal{C})$ and $X_{4} \in \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$.

Among the triangle cubics listed in B . Gibert's Catalogue of Triangle Cubics (see [6]), we find the following cubics $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ with indices

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\{7,8,45,80,92,133,141,142,144, \\
146,154,170,211,240,242,254,279, \\
311,347,355,371,380,449,455,548, \\
605,611,617,659,753,860,985,1000, \\
1002,1004,1053 a, b, 1078,1131\}
\end{gathered}
$$

which are also contained in the 6-parameter family (5).

For some of the cubics in B. Gibert's list, their $\mu$-images are also contained in the catalogue of cubics, see Tab. 1.

| $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ | 7 | 8 | 80 | 141 | 170 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ | 2 | 273 | 361 | 644 | 233 |
| $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ | 254 | 311 | 355 | 449 | 611 |
| $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ | 379 | 454 | 380 | 447 | 1172 |
| $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ | 617 | 753 | 1000 | 1002 | 1037 |
| $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ | 28 | 73 | 354 | 135 | 1013 |
| $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ |  | $1053 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ |  | 1131 |  |
| $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ |  | $1145 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ |  | 1134 |  |

Table 1: Triangle cubics $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ with $\mu$-images $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ both contained in B. Gibert's catalogue [6].

The images of some other cubics are not contained in Gibert's catalogue, but nevertheless, well defined solely by the triangle centers contained in them, see Tab. 2.

As can be seen in Tab. 1, the Lucas cubic $\mathcal{K}_{007}$ is mapped to the Thomson cubic $\mathcal{K}_{002}$. The image of the Droussent cubic $\mathcal{K}_{008}$ is mapped to the a pivotal isocubic $\mathcal{K}_{273}$. Figure 13 shows the cubic $\mathcal{K}_{254}$ with some triangle centers on it. The $\mu$-image $\mathcal{K}_{379}$ as well as the $\mu^{-1}$-image of $\mathcal{K}_{254}$ is shown.

## 4 Final remarks

As mentioned earlier (and also in [11]), there exists a perspector $R$ for the triangles $\Delta_{M}$ and $\Delta_{D}$. In terms of trilinear coordinates and depending on $Z=\boldsymbol{\xi}: \boldsymbol{\eta}: \boldsymbol{\zeta}$, the

| $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ | $X_{j} \in \mu\left(\mathcal{K}_{i}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 45 | $2,4,6,54,275,1993,8882,34756$ |
| 240 | $6,69,316,512,3448,14360$, |
|  | 53365 |
| 242 | $6,69,316,3448,14360,53365$ |
| 279 | $2,4,6,30,323,2986,5504$, |
|  | $\quad 10419,14910,15262$ |
| 380 | $4,6,251,1976,2065$ |
| 455 | $1,6,35,37,1126,1171,1255$, |
|  | $\quad 21353,33635$ |
| 605 | $6,58,63,81,284,2287,7123$, |
|  | 40403 |
| 659 | $6,32,83,251,51951$ |
| 860 | $6,15,16,74,40384$ |
| 985 | $6,58,81,291,1922,2311,7132$, |
|  | $24479,38810,38813$ |
| 1078 | $1,6,56,57,266,289,1743$ |

Table 2: Triangle cubics $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ (from GibERT's) catalogue whose images are defined by triangle centers $X_{j}$ (from Kimberling's encyclopedia).
perspector $R$ reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\left(a\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}+b\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta}\right. \\
&\left.+c\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\xi}+a b c \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\right) \\
&\left(a(b \boldsymbol{\eta}+c \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}-b c \boldsymbol{\xi}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{2}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(2 a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\right)::
\end{aligned}
$$

The mapping $Z \mapsto R$ is quintic and by no means involutive.
The Miquel-Steiner transformation is not involutive. We can give some chains of triangles centers, where each triangle center in the chain is the Miquel-Steiner transformation of its predecessor (see Tab. 4).
It is possible to define some more algebraic transformations based on Miquel's theorem (the triangle related theorem illustrated in Figure 1). For example, the assumption that the three points $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$


Table 3: Some centers and the repeated $\mu$ images. $\mathbf{6} \uparrow 2$ indicates that the center with Kimberling index 6 already shows up in the chain defined by center with Kimberling index 2.
be collinear yields a quartic transformation that sends lines to to points. Unfortunately,
this transformation is not invertible. If the points $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ are the vertices of the Cevian triangle of a point $P$, then the mapping that sends $P$ to the respective Miquel point (as illustrated in Figure 1) is sextic. In this case it has to be clarified under which circumstances this mapping is invertible.
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